

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/802,521	SKOG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jamal A. Fox	2664	

**All Participants:**

(1) Jamal A. Fox.

(2) Bill R. Naifeh.

**Status of Application: 71**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 6/14/2005

**Time:** 2:56 PM EST

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 9 and 11

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Bill R. Naifeh provided authorization to amend Claim 11 to depend on Claim 1 instead of Canceled Claim 9.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)